Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/607,080	ALLINGTON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ernest G. Therkorn .	1723
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.	(3)	
(2) Vincent L. Carney.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 July 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	int's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		•
Claims discussed: The amended claims		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summan</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	•	
Court G. thereas		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner initiated the interview to propose amendments to place the case in condition for allowance. It was apparent to the examiner that page 16, lines 6-8 and page 62, lines 19-22 of the specification indicated important aspects of the invention. The examiner proposed the changes to claims 102 and 103 to incorporate these aspects and thereby place the case in condition for allowance. The examiner also proposed additional changes and cancelling the non-elected invention. Vincent L. Carney then authorized the changes to be made by examiner's amendment.